

RECEIVED
ENFIELD TOWN CLERK

2026 APR 15 PM 1:04

Shelia M Bailey

Unapproved Minutes
BAA Hearings Meeting
April 13, 2026 2:00pm

Nominations: Andrew Urbanowicz made a motion, Dan DeGray seconded that Lori Longhi be nominated Chairman, motion unanimously passed.

Dan DeGray made a motion, Lori Longhi seconded that Andrew Urbanowicz be nominated Vice Chairman, motion unanimously passed.

Andrew Urbanowicz made a motion Lori Longhi seconded that Daniel DeGray be nominated Recording Secretary, motion unanimously passed.

Hearings for Assessment Appeals, deliberations and or decisions. See attachment for appeal number and names.

Lori Longhi recused herself at 2:10pm for the Spazzarini Const appeal hearing

Lori Longhi re-entered at 2:20pm

Dan DeGray recused himself at 5:00pm for the Hadley St George DeGray appeal hearing

Dan DeGray re-entered at 5:10pm

Motion was made by the Vice Chairman Urbanowicz and seconded by Secretary Dan DeGray to adjourn the Meeting at 6:00pm motion unanimously passed.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel DeGray

BAA Recording Secretary

SIGN-IN SHEET

BAA - 2025 GRAND LIST

APRIL 13, 2026

APPEAL NUMBER	NAME	TIME
11	H. Band	2:07P
1	Lauren Pipetbacher (centurian) Day Privacy Holdings, Inc.	2:10 PM
38	Karen LaPlante Don Slanetz-Slanetz Corp	
36	KURT WITOLDKUND CIT Wicks	2:20
3	Stan Dlog 236 Brook Washington	3:10
25-35	Salmor Farms	3:30
16+17	Eggs + SDL	4:30
19	Hadley Degray	5:00pm
39-40	Bill Cutter Lodge Tulle Mase	5:10
43	Fari's Noem	5:30

✓

✓

✓

✓

✓

✓

2026 DECISION MINUTES

Board of Assessment Appeals

Appeal Number: 11

Decision Date: 4-13-26

Property Owner/Agent: SPAZZARINI Const / Keith Ricci

Property Type & Location: Personal Property, Enfield, CT

Having been duly sworn, evidence in support of this appeal was received in the above matter, by way of oral testimony from: He Henry Testimony that he submitted Proof of Adjustment and Fending off of 1.00

After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the above-referenced matter as more fully identified, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals finds that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

Motion to approve appeal made by Andrew Urbanowicz and seconded by Daniel DeGray

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous

Daniel DeGray

Lori Longhi

Andrew Urbanowicz

☒ Yay ☐ Nay

☒ Yay ☐ Nay Abstain

☒ Yay ☐ Nay

	<u>Old Assessment</u>	<u>New Assessment</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Land			
Building			
Out Buildings			
Personal Property	<u>813,130</u>	<u>287,655.00</u>	<u>525,474.00</u>
Totals	<u>813,130</u>	<u>287,655.00</u>	<u>525,474.00</u>

2026 DECISION MINUTES

Board of Assessment Appeals

Appeal Number: 38

Decision Date: 4/13/2026

Property Owner/Agent: Karen LaPlante & Donald Skumetz, Skumetz Corp.

Property Type & Location: 120 N Maple Pers. Property, Enfield, CT

Having been duly sworn, evidence in support of this appeal was received in the above matter, by way of oral testimony from: Testimony that everything was properly entered but the electronic system failed to process. They asked us to reduce the penalty to \$1.00

After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the above-referenced matter as more fully identified, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals finds that the Property Owner

X HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal
OR

____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

Motion to approve appeal made by Andrew Urbanowicz and seconded by Daniel DeGray

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous

Daniel DeGray

Lori Longhi

Andrew Urbanowicz

✓Yay ____ Nay

✓Yay ____ Nay

✓Yay ____ Nay

	<u>Old Assessment</u>	<u>New Assessment</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Land	_____	_____	_____
Building	_____	_____	_____
Out Buildings	_____	_____	_____
Personal Property	_____	_____	_____
Totals	<u>87360</u>	<u>55009.50</u>	<u>-32,350.5</u>

2026 DECISION MINUTES

Board of Assessment Appeals

Appeal Number: 1

Decision Date: 4/13/26

Property Owner/Agent: Lauren Pipenbacher Esq. for Centurion 1, Inc.

Property Type & Location: Comm RE 100 Hazard Ave., Enfield, CT

Having been duly sworn, evidence in support of this appeal was received in the above matter, by way of oral testimony from: Apprentice denied of reapplication of charitable application. 501(c)(3) charitable leasing company. Rented to Hartford Healthcare. No profits on leasing. 12-21(7). See exhibits filed by appellant.

After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the above-referenced matter as more fully identified, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals finds that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

Motion to approve appeal made by Andrew Urbanowicz and seconded by Daniel DeGray

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous

Daniel DeGray

Lori Longhi

Andrew Urbanowicz



☒ Yay ☐ Nay

☒ Yay ☐ Nay

☒ Yay ☐ Nay

	Old Assessment	New Assessment	Difference
Land	338200	0	-338200.00
Building	2728100	0	-2728100.00
Out Buildings	38300	0	-38300.00
Personal Property			
Totals	3104600	0	-3104600.00

2026 DECISION MINUTES

Board of Assessment Appeals

Appeal Number: 25

Decision Date: 4-13-2026

Property Owner/Agent: CT Valley Transportation LLC
by Owen Jarmak

Property Type & Location: Personal Property, Enfield, CT

Having been duly sworn, evidence in support of this appeal was received in the above matter, by way of oral testimony from: Trucks & trailers only no other property. Estimated personal property

After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the above-referenced matter as more fully identified, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals finds that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

Motion to approve appeal made by Andrew Urbanowicz and seconded by Daniel DeGray

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous	☒	1
Daniel DeGray	☐ Yay	☐ Nay
Lori Longhi	☐ Yay	☐ Nay
Andrew Urbanowicz	☐ Yay	☐ Nay

	<u>Old Assessment</u>	<u>New Assessment</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Land	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Building	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Out Buildings	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Personal Property	<u>13,726</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-13,726</u>
Totals	<u>13726</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-13,726</u>

2026 DECISION MINUTES

Board of Assessment Appeals

Appeal Number: 26

Decision Date: 4-13-26

Property Owner/Agent: Jarmoc Farms LLC

Property Type & Location: Pers. Property, Enfield, CT

Having been duly sworn, evidence in support of this appeal was received in the above matter, by way of oral testimony from: Co. is RE Holding Company and does not own equipment or property

After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the above-referenced matter as more fully identified, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals finds that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal
OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

Motion to approve appeal made by Andrew Urbanowicz and seconded by Daniel DeGray

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED / DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous	☒
Daniel DeGray	☐ Yay ☐ Nay
Lori Longhi	☐ Yay ☐ Nay
Andrew Urbanowicz	☐ Yay ☐ Nay

	<u>Old Assessment</u>	<u>New Assessment</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Land	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Building	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Out Buildings	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Personal Property	<u>21000</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-21000</u>
Totals	<u>21000</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-21000</u>

2026 DECISION MINUTES

Board of Assessment Appeals

Appeal Number: 27

Decision Date: 4-13-26

Property Owner/Agent: Jarmoc Tobacco LLC

Property Type & Location: Pers Property, Enfield, CT

Having been duly sworn, evidence in support of this appeal was received in the above matter, by way of oral testimony from: Want my exemption applied to zero assessment

After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the above-referenced matter as more fully identified, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals finds that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

Motion to approve appeal made by Andrew Urbanowicz and seconded by Daniel DeGray

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous

Daniel DeGray

Lori Longhi

Andrew Urbanowicz

☒ Yay ☐ Nay

☐ Yay ☐ Nay

☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Land

Building

Out Buildings

Personal Property

Totals

Old Assessment

New Assessment

Difference

76,730

0

-76,730

76,730

0

-76,730

2026 DECISION MINUTES

Board of Assessment Appeals

Appeal Number: 28

Decision Date: 4-13-26

Property Owner/Agent: Jarmoc Farms LLC, Jarmoc Neal Estate LLC

Property Type & Location: 65 Broad Brook Rd., Enfield, CT

Having been duly sworn, evidence in support of this appeal was received in the above matter, by way of oral testimony from: Resolved last year should not be changed. Properties subject to ground lease is entire Schedule A.

After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the above-referenced matter as more fully identified, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals finds that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

Motion to approve appeal made by Andrew Urbanowicz and seconded by Daniel DeGray

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED / DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous	☒	
Daniel DeGray	☐ Yay	☐ Nay
Lori Longhi	☐ Yay	☐ Nay
Andrew Urbanowicz	☐ Yay	☐ Nay

	<u>Old Assessment</u>	<u>New Assessment</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Land	<u>- 891,100</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>- 891,100</u>
Building	<u>- 258,900</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>- 258,900</u>
Out Buildings	<u>- 130,900</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>- 130,900</u>
Personal Property	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>
Totals	<u>4,280,900</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>- 4,280,900</u>

2026 DECISION MINUTES

Board of Assessment Appeals

Appeal Number: 29

Decision Date: 4-13-2026

Property Owner/Agent: Jarmoc Farms LLC + Jarmoc Real Estate LLC

Property Type & Location: 69 Brow Brook Rd, Enfield, CT

Having been duly sworn, evidence in support of this appeal was received in the above matter, by way of oral testimony from: See 28

After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the above-referenced matter as more fully identified, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals finds that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

Motion to approve appeal made by Andrew Urbanowicz and seconded by Daniel DeGray

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous

Daniel DeGray

Lori Longhi

Andrew Urbanowicz

☒

☐ Yay ☐ Nay

☐ Yay ☐ Nay

☐ Yay ☐ Nay

	<u>Old Assessment</u>	<u>New Assessment</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Land	<u>58,800</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-58,800</u>
Building	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Out Buildings	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Personal Property	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Totals	<u>58,800</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-58,800</u>

2026 DECISION MINUTES

Board of Assessment Appeals

Appeal Number: 80

Decision Date: 4-13-26

Property Owner/Agent: Jarmoc Farms, LLC + Jarmoc Real Estate LLC

Property Type & Location: Broad Brook RD, Enfield, CT

Having been duly sworn, evidence in support of this appeal was received in the above matter, by way of oral testimony from: see 20

After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the above-referenced matter as more fully identified, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals finds that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

Motion to approve appeal made by Andrew Urbanowicz and seconded by Daniel DeGray

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous	☒	
Daniel DeGray	☐ Yay	☐ Nay
Lori Longhi	☐ Yay	☐ Nay
Andrew Urbanowicz	☐ Yay	☐ Nay

	<u>Old Assessment</u>	<u>New Assessment</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Land	<u>359,200</u>	<u>-0-</u>	<u>-359,200</u>
Building	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Out Buildings	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Personal Property	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Totals	<u>359,200</u>	<u>-0-</u>	<u>-359,200</u>

2026 DECISION MINUTES

Board of Assessment Appeals

Appeal Number: 31

Decision Date: 4-13-26

Property Owner/Agent: Jarmoc Farms, LLC + Jarmoc Real Estate LLC

Property Type & Location: Broad Brook Road, Enfield, CT

Having been duly sworn, evidence in support of this appeal was received in the above matter, by way of oral testimony from: See 28

After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the above-referenced matter as more fully identified, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals finds that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal
OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

Motion to approve appeal made by Andrew Urbanowicz and seconded by Daniel DeGray

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED / DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous	☒
Daniel DeGray	☐ Yay ☐ Nay
Lori Longhi	☐ Yay ☐ Nay
Andrew Urbanowicz	☐ Yay ☐ Nay

	<u>Old Assessment</u>	<u>New Assessment</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Land	<u>614,300</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-614,300</u>
Building	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Out Buildings	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Personal Property	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Totals	<u>614,300</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-614,300</u>

2026 DECISION MINUTES

Board of Assessment Appeals

Appeal Number: 116

Decision Date: 4-13-26

Property Owner/Agent: Stop Prop & Lock LLC by Eric Hewitt
Kelly Henner

Property Type & Location: 157 South Road, Enfield, CT
Personal Property

Having been duly sworn, evidence in support of this appeal was received in the above matter,
by way of oral testimony from: Exhibits filed. Asking for waiver

of 25% penalty
no tools, no equipment, no office, should be 0
did not exist in 2024

After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the above-referenced
matter as more fully identified, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals finds that the Property
Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal
OR
☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

Motion to approve appeal made by Andrew Urbanowicz and seconded by Daniel DeGray

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous
Daniel DeGray Yay Nay
Lori Longhi Yay Nay
Andrew Urbanowicz Yay Nay

	<u>Old Assessment</u>	<u>New Assessment</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Land	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Building	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Out Buildings	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Personal Property	<u>8400</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-8400</u>
Totals	<u>8400</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-8400</u>

2026 DECISION MINUTES

Board of Assessment Appeals

Appeal Number: 19

Decision Date: 4-13-26

Property Owner/Agent: Hadley St George DeGray

Property Type & Location: Personal Property 287 Hazard Ave, Enfield, CT

Having been duly sworn, evidence in support of this appeal was received in the above matter, by way of oral testimony from: Never did business at this location, no equipment

After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the above-referenced matter as more fully identified, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals finds that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal
OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

Motion to approve appeal made by Andrew Urbanowicz and seconded by Lori Longhi
~~Daniel DeGray~~

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous			
Daniel DeGray	☐ Yay	☐ Nay	<i>Recused</i>
Lori Longhi	☒ Yay	☐ Nay	
Andrew Urbanowicz	☒ Yay	☐ Nay	

	<u>Old Assessment</u>	<u>New Assessment</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Land			
Building			
Out Buildings			
Personal Property	<u>4580</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-4580</u>
Totals	<u>4580</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-4580</u>

2026 DECISION MINUTES

Board of Assessment Appeals

Appeal Number: 17

Decision Date: 4-13-26

Property Owner/Agent: National Fleet Leasing

Property Type & Location: Kelly Penner + Eric Hewitt
Real Estate 157 South Road., Enfield, CT

Having been duly sworn, evidence in support of this appeal was received in the above matter, by way of oral testimony from: See written packet.
testimony that new buildings total \$309,064 per invoice

After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the above-referenced matter as more fully identified, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals finds that the Property Owner

☐ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☒ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

Motion to approve appeal made by Andrew Urbanowicz and seconded by Daniel DeGray

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS ~~GRANTED~~ DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous

Daniel DeGray ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Lori Longhi ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Andrew Urbanowicz ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

	<u>Old Assessment</u>	<u>New Assessment</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Land	<u>212 900</u>	<u>212, 900</u>	<u>0</u>
Building	<u>371 900</u>	<u>371, 900</u>	<u>0</u>
Out Buildings	<u>44 900</u>	<u>44, 900</u>	<u>0</u>
Personal Property			
Totals	<u>629,700</u>	<u>629,700</u>	<u>0</u>

2026 DECISION MINUTES

Board of Assessment Appeals

Appeal Number: 39

Decision Date: 4-13-26

Property Owner/Agent: Loyal Order of Moose 1525 William Cutter Rep.

Property Type & Location: 124 South Road, Enfield, CT

Having been duly sworn, evidence in support of this appeal was received in the above matter, by way of oral testimony from: Appeal denial of nonprofit status
they are a 501(c)3 nonprofit.
showed tax return as proof of status.

After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the above-referenced matter as more fully identified, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals finds that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal
OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

Motion to approve appeal made by Andrew Urbanowicz and seconded by Daniel DeGray

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED / DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous	☒
Daniel DeGray	☐ Yay ☐ Nay
Lori Longhi	☐ Yay ☐ Nay
Andrew Urbanowicz	☐ Yay ☐ Nay

	<u>Old Assessment</u>	<u>New Assessment</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Land	<u>79,900</u>	<u>Exempt</u> <u>0</u>	<u>-79,900</u>
Building	<u>291,200</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-291,200</u>
Out Buildings	<u>6,000</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-6,000</u>
Personal Property			
Totals	<u>377,100</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-377,100</u>

2026 DECISION MINUTES

Board of Assessment Appeals

Appeal Number: 40

Decision Date: 4-13-2026

Property Owner/Agent: Loyal Order of Moose 1525 William Cutter Rep

Property Type & Location: Personal Property, Enfield, CT

Having been duly sworn, evidence in support of this appeal was received in the above matter, by way of oral testimony from: Appeal denial of non-profit status. They are a 501(c)8 nonprofit
showed tax return as proof of status

After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the above-referenced matter as more fully identified, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals finds that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal
OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

Motion to approve appeal made by Andrew Urbanowicz and seconded by Daniel DeGray

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous	☒
Daniel DeGray	☐ Yay ☐ Nay
Lori Longhi	☐ Yay ☐ Nay
Andrew Urbanowicz	☐ Yay ☐ Nay

	<u>Old Assessment</u>	<u>New Assessment</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Land	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Building	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Out Buildings	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Personal Property	<u>-24,020</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-24,020</u>
Totals	<u>-24,020</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>-24,020</u>

2026 DECISION MINUTES

Board of Assessment Appeals

Appeal Number: 32

Decision Date: 4-13-26

Property Owner/Agent: Tarnoc Farms LLC + Tarnoc RE LLC

Property Type & Location: Broad Brook Rd., Enfield, CT

Having been duly sworn, evidence in support of this appeal was received in the above matter, by way of oral testimony from See 28

2024 Request Waiver of 10% penalty

not his solar panels

After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the above-referenced matter as more fully identified, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals finds that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

Motion to approve appeal made by Andrew Urbanowicz and seconded by Daniel DeGray

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED / DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous	☒
Daniel DeGray	☐ Yay ☐ Nay
Lori Longhi	☐ Yay ☐ Nay
Andrew Urbanowicz	☐ Yay ☐ Nay

	<u>Old Assessment</u>	<u>New Assessment</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Land		<u>0</u>	
Building		<u>0</u>	
Out Buildings		<u>0</u>	
Personal Property		<u>0</u>	
Totals		<u>0</u>	

Assessor did not provide any old
Assessment numbers

2026 DECISION MINUTES

Board of Assessment Appeals

Appeal Number: 33

Decision Date: 4-13-26

Property Owner/Agent: Tarnoc Farms LLC + Tarnoc RE LLC

Property Type & Location: Brown Brook RD, Enfield, CT

Having been duly sworn, evidence in support of this appeal was received in the above matter, by way of oral testimony from: See 228

2024 Request Waiver of 10% penalty

After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the above-referenced matter as more fully identified, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals finds that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

Motion to approve appeal made by Andrew Urbanowicz and seconded by Daniel DeGray

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous	☒	
Daniel DeGray	☐ Yay	☐ Nay
Lori Longhi	☐ Yay	☐ Nay
Andrew Urbanowicz	☐ Yay	☐ Nay

	<u>Old Assessment</u>	<u>New Assessment</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Land	<u> </u>	<u>0</u>	<u> </u>
Building	<u> </u>	<u>0</u>	<u> </u>
Out Buildings	<u> </u>	<u>0</u>	<u> </u>
Personal Property	<u> </u>	<u>0</u>	<u> </u>
Totals	<u> </u>	<u>0</u>	<u> </u>

Assessor Did not Provide any old Assessment Numbers

2026 DECISION MINUTES

Board of Assessment Appeals

Appeal Number: 34

Decision Date: 4-13-26

Property Owner/Agent: Jarmoc Farms LLC + Jarmoc Real Estate LLC

Property Type & Location: Broad Brook Rd., Enfield, CT

Having been duly sworn, evidence in support of this appeal was received in the above matter, by way of oral testimony from: See 78

2024 waiver of 100% penalty

After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the above-referenced matter as more fully identified, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals finds that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

Motion to approve appeal made by Andrew Urbanowicz and seconded by Daniel DeGray

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED / DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous	☒
Daniel DeGray	☐ Yay ☐ Nay
Lori Longhi	☐ Yay ☐ Nay
Andrew Urbanowicz	☐ Yay ☐ Nay

	<u>Old Assessment</u>	<u>New Assessment</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Land		<u>0</u>	
Building		<u>0</u>	
Out Buildings		<u>0</u>	
Personal Property		<u>0</u>	
Totals		<u>0</u>	

Assessor did not provide any old
Assesmat Numbers

2026 DECISION MINUTES

Board of Assessment Appeals

Appeal Number: 35

Decision Date: 4-13-26

Property Owner/Agent: Turner Farms LLC Turner RE LLC

Property Type & Location: Brown Brook Rd., Enfield, CT

Having been duly sworn, evidence in support of this appeal was received in the above matter, by way of oral testimony from: See 28

2024 Waiver of 100% penalty

After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the above-referenced matter as more fully identified, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals finds that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

Motion to approve appeal made by Andrew Urbanowicz and seconded by Daniel DeGray

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous	☒
Daniel DeGray	☐ Yay ☐ Nay
Lori Longhi	☐ Yay ☐ Nay
Andrew Urbanowicz	☐ Yay ☐ Nay

	<u>Old Assessment</u>	<u>New Assessment</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Land		0	
Building		0	
Out Buildings		0	
Personal Property		0	
Totals		0	

Assessor Did not Provide any old Assessment Numbers

2026 DECISION MINUTES

Board of Assessment Appeals

Appeal Number: 43

Decision Date: 4-13-2026

Property Owner/Agent: JFK Group LLC by Faris Naem

Property Type & Location: Personal Property, Enfield, CT

Having been duly sworn, evidence in support of this appeal was received in the above matter, by way of oral testimony from:

He timely filed and asks to
reduce penalty

After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the above-referenced matter as more fully identified, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals finds that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal
OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

Motion to approve appeal made by Andrew Urbanowicz and seconded by Daniel DeGray

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous	☒
Daniel DeGray	☐ Yay ☐ Nay
Lori Longhi	☐ Yay ☐ Nay
Andrew Urbanowicz	☐ Yay ☐ Nay

	<u>Old Assessment</u>	<u>New Assessment</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Land	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Building	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Out Buildings	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Personal Property	<u>80,640</u>	<u>9000</u>	<u>-71,640</u>
Totals	<u>80,640</u>	<u>9000</u>	<u>-71,640</u>