

Minutes of the BAA
Special Meeting
September 15, 2022
DRAFT

Chairman Tyler called meeting to order at 4:00 p.m.

Roll call showed Tom Tyler & Donna Dubanoski and Lori Longhi Present.

Hearings were held in accordance with the attached Schedule.

Chairman Tyler made the motion to adjourn, Donna seconds motion passed

Meeting adjourned at 6:20 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Lori Longhi
Recording Secretary

SIGN-IN SHEET

BAA - 2021 GRAND LIST

09/15/2022

	APPEAL NUMBER	NAME	TIME
TT	49	Dawn Kewse	3:51
DD	50	ROBERT KOZAK	3:52
LL	51	Gene Giuliano	3:52
DD	52-55	Melvin Mago	3:53
LL	56	Andrew Staszczak	3:55
TT	57	MARTIN BARBOSA	3:56
LL	58-59	Joan & Paul Farris	3:58
DD	60	Brian Berk	4:00
LL	61	Theresa Coriano	4:00
TT	62	Jennifer Bruyette	4:18
DD	63 64	Jim Skar	
LL	65	Jeff Peterson	4:27
DD	66	KRISTEN CIECHOWSKI (3)	4:36
DD	67		
DD	68		

BAA – 2021 GRAND LIST

[illegible]

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name MARTIN J. KEANE Appeal # 49
 Address 703 Hazard Avenue Date 9/15/22

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model 1947 FORD PICKUP * Down

Appellant's fair market value: 300.

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage FMV at 300. -

Body Damage probably light

Paint Damage MARKER

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Not Restored - Not Running Since 1995 -
Pictures

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous _____

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 33,600.⁰⁰ 24,080.

New Assessment 210

Difference - 23,870

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Robert Kozak Appeal # 50

Address 6 Tyler Rd Date 9/15/22

Mileage of Vehicle N/A

Make & Model Air Stream 1986 trailer

Appellant's fair market value: 1,700.⁰⁰

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues Insured for value attached - \$1,700.⁰⁰

Other: App of trailer not worth 16,380 - see Docs

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous

✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski

____ Yay

____ Nay

Lori Longhi

____ Yay

____ Nay

Thomas Tyler

____ Yay

____ Nay

Old Assessment

16,380.⁰⁰

New Assessment

1,190.⁰⁰

Difference

-15,190.⁰⁰

9-26-22

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Gene Giuliano

Appeal # 51

Address 99 Abbe Road

Date 9-15-22

Mileage of Vehicle 98,484

Make & Model Ford Taurus

Appellant's fair market value: 1500.00

2013
-0-

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage dents all over, Bumper rear damage

Paint Damage rock marks

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Totaled in a hail storm, Kept 2800 7 years ago last year 1832.24

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous ✓

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 4,940

New Assessment 1050

Difference - 3890

9-26-22

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Melvin Mayo Appeal # 52

Address 46 Sword Ave Date 9/19/22

Mileage of Vehicle 407,000 - (second time)

Make & Model 1988 Chevy S10 odometer went around

Appellant's fair market value: 200.⁰⁰

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage 500,000 plus - odometer repeated

Body Damage abundant Rust, dents - numerous

Paint Damage extensive

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: interior/exterior shows Age of 34 yrs

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous	<u>✓</u>	
Donna Dubanoski	<u>Yay</u>	<u>Nay</u>
Lori Longhi	<u>Yay</u>	<u>Nay</u>
Thomas Tyler	<u>Yay</u>	<u>Nay</u>

Old Assessment 2470.⁰⁰

New Assessment 140.⁰⁰

Difference -2330.⁰⁰

9-26-22

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Melvin Mayo

Appeal # 53

Address 46 Sword Ave

Date 9/19/22

Mileage of Vehicle 227,757

Make & Model 2002 Ford Focus

Appellant's fair market value: 300.00 - what he paid

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage 227,672 very high mileage

Body Damage rust, dents

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: interior/exterior - worn

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous ✓

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 1,580.⁰⁰

New Assessment 210.⁰⁰

Difference -1,370.⁰⁰

9-26-22

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Melvin Mayo

Appeal # 54

Address 46 Sword Ave

Date 9/15/22

Mileage of Vehicle —

Make & Model Home Made trailer - 2000

Appellant's fair market value: 150.00

Reason for Appeal: - 2000 - Home Made trailer

High Mileage only worth 150.00

Body Damage —

Paint Damage —

Mechanical Issues —

Other: —

9-26-22

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous	<u>3-0</u>	
Donna Dubanoski	<u>Yay</u>	<u>Nay</u>
Lori Longhi	<u>Yay</u>	<u>Nay</u>
Thomas Tyler	<u>Yay</u>	<u>Nay</u>

Old Assessment 430.00

New Assessment 100.00

Difference -330.00

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Melvin Mayo

Appeal # 55

Address 46 SWORD AVE

Date 9/15/22

Mileage of Vehicle 284,100

Make & Model Ford, Focus 2001

Appellant's fair market value: 250.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage 284,100 -

Body Damage Bust - Dents -

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues Not running -

Other: Interior Exterior

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous ☒

Donna Dubanoski ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Lori Longhi ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Thomas Tyler ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Old Assessment 1,610.00

New Assessment 180.00

Difference -1430.00

9-26-22

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Andrew Staszczak

Appeal # 56

Address 5 Marble

Date 9-15-22

Mileage of Vehicle 45,816 ~~22~~

Make & Model Nissan Stanza 1986

Appellant's fair market value: 350.00

2013
1275-4350

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage paint & body bumpers

Paint Damage rust, rock mark

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Fermi Rds, No ac, leaks oil,
~~add \$1030.00~~

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows 3-0

Unanimous ✓

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment \$1030.00

New Assessment 240

Difference -790

9-26-22

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name MARTIN BARBOZA Appeal # 37

Address 196 Woodside Crossing Date 9/15/22

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model 2021 HARLEY Davidson

Appellant's fair market value: _____

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Price of Sale 25,970. -
NADA 25,970. -
19,700 -
FMV = 22,835. -
See Appeal 70% =
15,985. -

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows 3-0

Unanimous _____

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment

New Assessment

Difference

31,900
15,980
-15,920

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Paul Farris Appeal # 58

Address 28 St Thomas St Date 9-15-22

Mileage of Vehicle ~~235,069~~ 259,069 ^{LT}

Make & Model _____

Appellant's fair market value: 3,818.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage 250,000⁺

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage Rust, Paint, touch up self spots on Back

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Condition, interior problems carpet worn
around 12,000, 1, missing

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 11,310

New Assessment 2670

Difference -8640

2014
3300-11325

9-26-22

3-0

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Paul Farris

Appeal # 59

Address 28 St Thomas St

Date 9-15-22

Mileage of Vehicle 112,776

Make & Model Ram 1500 2015

Appellant's fair market value: ~~17,800~~ 14,197

2015
1175-4000

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage dings, few scratches, pecks

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: cosmetic issues,

17,800 now jumped high

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous	<u>✓</u>
Donna Dubanoski	____ Yay ____ Nay
Lori Longhi	____ Yay ____ Nay
Thomas Tyler	____ Yay ____ Nay

Old Assessment 17,870

New Assessment 9940

Difference -7930

9-26-22

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Brian Berk

Appeal # 60

Address 16 Ridge Rd

Date 9/15/22

Mileage of Vehicle ~~111,400~~ 145,275

Make & Model 1991 Mitsubishi 3000 GT

Appellant's fair market value: 2,500.⁰⁰

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage dented -

Paint Damage rust -

Mechanical Issues blown Engine - not Running

Other: From - 1,140 to 9,730 - 854% increase

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

9-26-22

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows 3-0

Unanimous	<u>✓</u>	
Donna Dubanoski	____ Yay	____ Nay
Lori Longhi	____ Yay	____ Nay
Thomas Tyler	____ Yay	____ Nay

Old Assessment 9,730.⁰⁰

New Assessment 1,750.⁰⁰

Difference -7,980.⁰⁰

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Theresa Coriano

Appeal # 61

Address 10 Thompson Court

Date 9-15-22

Mileage of Vehicle 259,230 ✓ ±

Make & Model _____

Appellant's fair market value: 8,500.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage 259,225 Solely High

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues She didn't have a valve, called son he gave her

Other: 8500.00 I looked \$9090 x .70 = 6360
in our book

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous	<u>✓</u>	
Donna Dubanoski	_____ Yay	_____ Nay
Lori Longhi	_____ Yay	_____ Nay
Thomas Tyler	_____ Yay	_____ Nay

Old Assessment 19,480

New Assessment 6,360

Difference -13,530

9-26-22

2013
2625-9025

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name JENNIFER BRUYETTE Appeal # 62

Address 83 PARK AVENUE Date 9/15/22

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model 2020 Hyundai Tucson

0 mileage

Appellant's fair market value: 17,254

Reason for Appeal:

Fluv. 21,241.⁰⁰
70% 14,869.⁰⁰

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Attached Docs.

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous ✓

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 2-Cars 22,860.⁰⁰ 18,360

New Assessment 12,080

Difference -6,280

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Jim Blair

Appeal # 63

Address 24 Sword Ave

Date 9/19/22

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Swift - 2007 Bar Chopper

Appellant's fair market value: 4,000.⁰⁰

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage Can't get any parts because

Mechanical Issues Swift went out of business

Other: no parts - no longer in business

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous ✓

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 23,030

New Assessment 2,800

Difference -20,230

9-26-22

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Jim Blair

Appeal # 64

Address 24 Sword Ave

Date 9/15/22

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model 2019 Ram 2500

Appellant's fair market value: ~~27,500.00~~ 25,000.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Truck was Stolen - Damaged see appeal

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous	<u>3-0</u>	
Donna Dubanoski	____ Yay	____ Nay
Lori Longhi	____ Yay	____ Nay
Thomas Tyler	____ Yay	____ Nay

Old Assessment 33,010.00

New Assessment 17,500.00

Difference -15,510.00

9-26-22

police recovered stolen vehicle - was damaged

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

New
England
Landscaping

Name Jeffrey Peterson

Appeal # 65

Address P.O. Box 892

Date 9-15-22

Mileage of Vehicle unknown

Make & Model Chev K 30 1983

Appellant's fair market value: 1,700.⁰⁰

MV 0004831

2013
2625-9025

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage some rot

Paint Damage dents, dings, chips.

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Flat Bed, Cab & Chassis
OD, Flipped once or twice. starts & Runs
Jumped 2,330 to \$12,810

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous ✓

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 12,810

New Assessment 1190

Difference - 11,620

9-26-22

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Kristen Clechowski

Appeal # 66

Address 35 Windham Rd.

Date 9/15/22

Mileage of Vehicle 148,439

Make & Model Chevy Silverado (2014)

-1550 -5350
Verified
148,439

Appellant's fair market value: 20,775.⁰⁰

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage 148,436 - very high

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage Scratches

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Warm interior

9-26-22

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous ☒

Donna Dubanoski ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Lori Longhi ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Thomas Tyler ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Old Assessment 20,280.⁰⁰

New Assessment 14,540.⁰⁰

Difference = 5,737.⁰⁰

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Kristen Ciechowski Appeal # 67
 Address 35 Windham Rd. Date 9/15/22
 Mileage of Vehicle N/A
 Make & Model Trailer - Ranger 990
 Appellant's fair market value: 250.00 -
 Reason for Appeal: (age)
 High Mileage _____
 Body Damage _____
 Paint Damage Rest -
 Mechanical Issues _____
 Other: Age of trailer -

9-26-22 Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous	<u>✓</u>	
Donna Dubanoski	____ Yay	____ Nay
Lori Longhi	____ Yay	____ Nay
Thomas Tyler	____ Yay	____ Nay

Old Assessment	<u>350.</u>
New Assessment	<u>180</u>
Difference	<u>-170</u>

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Kristen Ciechowski

Appeal # 108

Address 35 Windham Rd.

Date 9/19/22

Mileage of Vehicle 229873

Make & Model Toyota Scion 2005

Appellant's fair market value: 183,125.00

2013
2375-8175

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage 229,870 - high -

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage damaged paint

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous	<u>✓</u>	
Donna Dubanoski	____ Yay	____ Nay
Lori Longhi	____ Yay	____ Nay
Thomas Tyler	____ Yay	____ Nay

Old Assessment 2730

New Assessment 2190

Difference - 540

9-26-22

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Andre Grosszyk

Appeal # 69

Address 60 Weymouth Rd

Date 9-15-22

Mileage of Vehicle UKN.

Make & Model Chevy Express

Appellant's fair market value: \$2500.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage ☒

Body Damage ☐

Paint Damage ☐

Mechanical Issues ☐

Other: Blown engine, using parts, can't turn on parked can't get mileage

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous ☒

Donna Dubanoski ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Lori Longhi ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Thomas Tyler ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Old Assessment \$14,140

New Assessment 1,750

Difference -12,390

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Andre Groszyk Thern Appeal # 70
Address 60 Weymouth Rd Date 9-15-22
Mileage of Vehicle 388,730
Make & Model F350 1990 Ford
Appellant's fair market value: \$750.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage mentioned high mileage

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues not running in shop

Other: pictures attached, rolled over, hardly can see it

9-26-22 Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous	<u>✓</u>
Donna Dubanoski	____ Yay ____ Nay
Lori Longhi	____ Yay ____ Nay
Thomas Tyler	____ Yay ____ Nay

Old Assessment \$4,030
New Assessment 530
Difference -3,500

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Cedric Groszek Farm Appeal # 71
 Address 60 Weymouth Rd Date 9-15-22
 Mileage of Vehicle 183,799
 Make & Model Ford Med H
 Appellant's fair market value: \$1,750.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage rust issues

Mechanical Issues prior values 1750

Other: yellow, Broken grill, Bad radiator, not running

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows 3-0

Unanimous	<u>✓</u>
Donna Dubanoski	____ Yay ____ Nay
Lori Longhi	____ Yay ____ Nay
Thomas Tyler	____ Yay ____ Nay

Old Assessment \$8,120.00
 New Assessment 1230
 Difference -6890

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Andre Groszyk Farm

Appeal # 72

Address 60 Weymouth Rd

Date 9-15-22

Mileage of Vehicle 186,264

Make & Model 1976 GMC

Appellant's fair market value: 750.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: 30 years old Ave - poor condition, prior value was 750.00

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows 3-0

Unanimous ✓

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment \$13,720

New Assessment 530

Difference -13,190

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Candice Graszynski Appeal # 73

Address 60 Weymouth Rd Date 9-15-22

Mileage of Vehicle 245,031

Make & Model 1987 Chev R-30

Appellant's fair market value: \$1,500.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Age & Condition
1470 - 3900±

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows 3-0

Unanimous ✓

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment \$4,900.00

New Assessment 1050

Difference -3850

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Andre Grogzyk Farm

Appeal # 74

Address 60 Weymouth Rd

Date 9-15-22

Mileage of Vehicle 1997 Ford E350

Make & Model 226, 315

Appellant's fair market value: 1,000.00

2013
2375-8175

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage 226, 315

Body Damage Not Classified correctly

Paint Damage yes prior value was \$1,700.

Mechanical Issues Went to see Bus/Van

Other: picture on phone old shuttle van miss - labeled
Raise up to 34,130. called it a "campervan" - Not its a ol

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous ✓

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment \$ 34,130

New Assessment 700

Difference -33,430

9-26-22

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Andre Groszyk Farm

Appeal # 75

Address 60 Weymouth Rd

Date 9-15-22

Mileage of Vehicle 239,773 #2

Make & Model 1973 Ford F705E

Appellant's fair market value: \$530,00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage 239,773

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage paint issues

Mechanical Issues condition

Other: Photo on phone, - went to see truck
green Farm truck, rolled over twice OD

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows 3-0

Unanimous ✓

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment \$5,090

New Assessment 370

Difference -4,720

1

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Andriod Groszyk Farm LLC Appeal # 76
Address 60 Weymouth Rd Date 9-15-22
Mileage of Vehicle 90,916
Make & Model 1989 Ford Econoline
Appellant's fair market value: 1,000.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: OK Bus ^{van} red, has rotated over

9-26-22 Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous	<u>✓</u>	
Donna Dubanoski	____ Yay	____ Nay
Lori Longhi	____ Yay	____ Nay
Thomas Tyler	____ Yay	____ Nay

Old Assessment \$2,330
New Assessment 700
Difference -1,630

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Andre Groszyk Farm

Appeal # 77

Address 60 Weymouth Rd

Date 9-15-22

Mileage of Vehicle 63,293

Make & Model 1994 U D Nissan

Appellant's fair market value: \$2000.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage rolled over at least once

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: White Box truck, rust, old. zero Brakes
2800 15,400 New not operational

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous ✓

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 15,400

New Assessment 1400

Difference -14,000

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Andre Grozyk Farm

Appeal # 78

Address _____

Date 9-15-22

Mileage of Vehicle 173,774

Make & Model 2009 Econoline 150

Appellant's fair market value: \$4500

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Blue Van

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous	<u>✓</u>	
Donna Dubanoski	<u>Yay</u>	<u>Nay</u>
Lori Longhi	<u>Yay</u>	<u>Nay</u>
Thomas Tyler	<u>Yay</u>	<u>Nay</u>

Old Assessment \$6,060

New Assessment 3150

Difference -2910

9-26-22

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Andre Groszyk Farm

Appeal # 79

Address _____

Date 9-15-22

Mileage of Vehicle 167,590 rolled over

Make & Model International 1996

Appellant's fair market value: 2000.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage yes.

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: green Bus. rolled over, rough.

9-26-22 Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows 3-0

Unanimous ✓

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment \$4,800

New Assessment 1400

Difference -3400

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Andre Groszyk Farm

Appeal # 80

Address 60 Weymouth Rd

Date 9-15-22

Mileage of Vehicle 328,388

Make & Model 2001 International 4600

Appellant's fair market value: 4,200

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage ☒

Body Damage ☐

Paint Damage ☐

Mechanical Issues ☐

Other: age & condition

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous ☒

Donna Dubanoski ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Lori Longhi ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Thomas Tyler ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Old Assessment 6,980

New Assessment 2,940

Difference -4,040

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Dean S. Ascioiti

Appeal # 81

Address 36 Wheeler Drive

Date 9-15-22

Mileage of Vehicle 251,980

Make & Model Honda Ridgeline

Appellant's fair market value: Range per sheet

Reason for Appeal: \$5198-6425

High Mileage 250K+

Body Damage All panels some damage & dents

Paint Damage hail damage - rear door passenger side

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

2013 mileage
26259025

9-26-22

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows 3-0

Unanimous ☒

Donna Dubanoski ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Lori Longhi ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Thomas Tyler ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Old Assessment 11,530.

New Assessment 4500

Difference -7030

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Collin Senez

Appeal # 82

Address 18 Pomeroy Rd

Date 9/15/22

Mileage of Vehicle 215,087

Make & Model 2003 IC CO - (old Bus) Full Size School Bus

Appellant's fair market value: \$1,000.00

Reason for Appeal: Excessive amount of assessment @ 41,410

High Mileage Considered a
Body Damage Bus converted / mobile home - IS NOT
Paint Damage graffiti - old bus - holes in floor - dents

Mechanical Issues 5/21 - Bought for 100.00 - worth approx 1,000.00
Other: 5/21 - Bought for 100.00 - worth approx 1,000.00

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

9-26-22

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED / DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous	☒	
Donna Dubanoski	☐ Yay	☐ Nay
Lori Longhi	☐ Yay	☐ Nay
Thomas Tyler	☐ Yay	☐ Nay

Old Assessment 41,410.00
New Assessment 700.00
Difference - 40,710.00

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Andrew Staszczak

Appeal # 83

Address 5 Marble

Date 9-15-22

Mileage of Vehicle 225,452

2021 960

Make & Model 1999 Nissan Altima

2022 1,440

Appellant's fair market value: 500.00

+480
50%

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage ☒

2013
2375-8175

Body Damage

Paint Damage Faded

Mechanical Issues

Other: High Miles, Leak Oil Bolt 150-675 per lin
Last yr 300.00 This yr. 500 val

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

9-26-22

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED / DENIED by VOTE as follows 3-0

Unanimous

☒

Donna Dubanoski

☐ Yay

☐ Nay

Lori Longhi

☐ Yay

☐ Nay

Thomas Tyler

☐ Yay

☐ Nay

Old Assessment

1440

New Assessment

350

Difference

-1090

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Shelly Dejesus Appeal # 84

Address 815 Woodgate Circle Apt 815 Date 9-15-22

Mileage of Vehicle 274,559

Make & Model Nissan 2016 Alt

Appellant's fair market value: Range

2016
-4900-19825

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage 274,559 High Mileage

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous	<u>✓</u>	
Donna Dubanoski	____ Yay	____ Nay
Lori Longhi	____ Yay	____ Nay
Thomas Tyler	____ Yay	____ Nay

Old Assessment 10,100

New Assessment 5,500

Difference -4,600

9-26-22

2022 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name GERARDINE LOYA

Appeal # 85

Address 4 Nevius Avenue

Date 9/15/22

Mileage of Vehicle 310,611

Make & Model 2004 CHEVY CAV.

Appellant's fair market value: 250

2013
2625-9025

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage all under doors

Paint Damage lots dings, chips

Mechanical Issues Misc. issues (air/breaks/etc.)

Other: Kelly BB 150-487 say 250.

front bumper rust, muffles
Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

3-0

Unanimous ✓

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 1,860.00 ✓

New Assessment 210.00

Difference -1,650.00

9-26-22