

Minutes of the BAA
Special Meeting
September 28, 2023
(Unapproved Minutes)

RECEIVED
ENFIELD TOWN CLERK

2023 SEP 32 AM 9:42

Sheila M Bailey

Chairman Tyler called the meeting to order at 3:00 p.m.

Roll call showed Tom Tyler, Lori Longhi & Donna Dubanoski Present.

The board concluded Deliberations and made decisions on all appeals heard in September 2023.

September 28, 2023 hearings Lori Longhi had left the room at 4:00 to 4:10. Tom Tyler makes a motion
Donna Dubanoski seconds 2-0-1 vote on Appeal #'s 90 & 189.
Lori Longhi recused herself from these appeals.

September 28, 2023 hearings Donna Dubanoski leaves the room at 4:20 to 4:30. Lori Longhi makes a
motion, Tom Tyler seconds 2-0-1 to vote on Appeal #'s 105 & 106.
Donna Dubanoski recused herself from these appeals.

September 28, 2023 hearings Tom Tyler leaves the room at 4:40 to 4:50. Lori Longhi and Donna
Dubanoski voted on Appeal #'s 76, 77 & 78. Vote was 1-1-1 on all.
Tom Tyler recused himself from these appeals.

Chairman Tyler makes statement that Appeal 163 was withdrawn from 9/12/23.

Lori Longhi makes a motion, Chairman Tyler seconds to vote on September 6, 2023 Appeals #'s 1-114 & 190.

September 12, 2023 Appeals #'s 115 - 163.

September 16, 2023 Appeals #'s 164-189.

Motion passed. Votes for each appeal by day attached.

Tom Tyler made the Motion to adjourn Lori Longhi seconds motion passed.

Meeting adjourned at 4:57p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Donna Dubanoski

Donna Dubanoski
BAA Recording Secretary

Decisions 9/28/23 CT Mulch → Appeals # 1-13
 started 3-0 vote

Hearings 9-6-23

Tractors	Vin.	2022 Grand List	2021 Grand List	2020 Grand List	Fair Market Value	New Assessment
2019 GMC		48,440	62,860	47,080	81,215	42,850
2005 Chevy (VIN...2604)		13,050	13,740	5,860	7,815	5,330
2006 Chevy (VIN...B265)		13,040	9,730	5,900	7,570	5,370
2003 Ford F660		12,730	12,720	4,220	5,485	3,840
2002 Ford F350		10,330	8,490	3,220	4,185	3,930
2010 Land Rover		10,360	10,990	10,480	13,810	4,530
2011 Land Rover		9,030	10,100	9,750	12,871	8,870
2009 Honda CRV		8,630	8,880	6,210	8,070	5,650
1985 Red Peterbilt		23,280	24,500	2,790	3,630	2,540
1986 Gray Peterbilt		23,280	24,500	4,170	5,410	3,440
1984 Green Peterbilt		20,620	24,500	3,750	4,870	3,410
1995 Black Peterbilt		34,830	36,330	4,530	5,885	4,120
1997 Blue Kenworth W800 L		45,350	38,430	8,340	8,240	5,770
1999 Black Kenworth W800 L		32,170	45,430	2,900	3,770	2,640
2002 Purple/Grey Kenworth W800		44,210	13,830	6,110	7,945	5,560
2000 White Kenworth T2000 (sk		31,100	41,300	5,060	6,570	4,620
2000 Blue Kenworth W800		38,580	62,500	4,730	6,155	4,310
2003 Red Kenworth W800 L		27,580	17,060	6,880	8,085	6,360
1999 Purple Kenworth W800		32,170	45,430	2,900	3,785	2,550
1999 Teal Kenworth W800 L		32,170	45,430	3,800	4,870	3,270
1997 Maroon Kenworth W900 B		45,350	38,430	4,760	6,185	4,320
1996 Gold Kenworth W900L		28,550	34,830	7,960	10,365	7,250
2001 Red Kenworth W800S		47,380	45,500	5,620	7,300	5,110
1998 Purple Kenworth T800B		31,780	27,050	3,900	4,945	3,460
2003 Purple Kenworth W800B		27,580	17,060	6,890	8,085	6,360
2001 Black Kenworth W800B		47,360	45,500	5,620	7,300	5,110

ET MACH -> Appeals #1 - 75

1994 Autocar	28,400	16,220	7,710	10,030	70,400
1994 Autocar	26,400	16,220	7,710	10,030	70,400
1994 Autocar	26,400	16,220	3,750	4,885	3,420
1994 Autocar	26,400	16,220	7,710	10,030	70,400
2006 Peterbilt	42,410	43,090	15,380	20,000	11,590
2008 Mack Logtruck CV713	38,420	36,310	12,730	16,665	7,550
2005 Sterling Dump Truck LTB5	32,680	33,740	8,300	10,785	4,700
1993 White Peterbilt	28,700	24,500	4,780	6,715	11,055
2001 Mack Logtruck	22,810	20,650	8,510	11,055	3,560
1996 Black Peterbilt Dump Truck	39,030	32,830	3,910	5,085	11,055
1998 Kenworth Logtruck	25,730	42,840	8,430	10,855	11,055
2001 Grey Peterbilt	48,760	36,330	10,290	13,370	10,380
2001 Grey Peterbilt	48,760	36,330	11,300	14,885	6,000
1998 Peterbilt 379	42,560	44,030	8,000	8,570	3,200
1995 Mack 600 CH613	14,460	15,750	3,300	4,285	14,920
2006 Western Star Log Truck	53,990	29,720	16,380	21,315	12,460
2005 Kenworth T600 (Northern)	44,240	26,330	12,040	15,655	45,500
2007 Kenworth T80	55,850	58,720		65,000	1,820
1998 (2) Manac - 43' 5" x 102 dth	9,570	13,830	2,000	2,600	3,510
2002 (3) Manac	9,570	6,080	4,250	5,530	8,190
2005 (3) Manac - 46' 6" x 102 w	12,060	8,780	11,150	12,555	8,190
2005 (3) Manac - 48' 6" x 102 w F	12,060	8,780	11,150	12,555	8,190
2005 Manac	12,000	13,000	9,790	11,425	8,000
2006 (2) Manac - 43' 10" x 102 w	12,580	9,700	12,620	13,855	9,700
2007 (2) Manac	14,230	12,850	10,880	14,155	9,910
2007 (2) Manac 43' 10" x 102 pa	14,230	12,850	10,880	14,155	9,910
2005 Trinity Trailer	29,930	29,050	16,480	5,000	3,500
2005 Trinity Trailer	29,930	29,050	16,480	5,000	3,500

CT Mulch → Appeals # 1-75

2005 Trinity Trailer	27,600	28,050	14,650	5,000	2,500
2006 Trinity Trailer	28,800	30,100	17,020	5,000	3,500
2003 (3) Wilkens	28,930	31,500	17,960	11,000	7,700
2003 (3) Wilkens	28,930	31,500	3,790	11,000	7,700
2005 (3) Wilkens	28,930	31,500	17,960	11,000	7,700
1996 Timple 44' x 96 w pass. Sid	15,630	16,450	1,940	2,630	1,700
2008 Peetness Trailer	23,280	24,500	13,500	10,000	7,000
1992 Boone Log Trailer	3,500	8,000	705	915	600
1980 Rogers Lowbed Trailer (ora	15,330	8,000	6,960	9,040	6,330
1995 Rogers Trailer Lowbed	15,330	8,000	6,440	8,370	5,860
2018 Trinity Trailer	47,380	49,880		60,000	42,000
2018 Trinity Trailer	47,380	49,880		60,000	42,000
2018 Trinity Trailer	47,380	49,880		60,000	42,000
1995 Dorsey Dump Trailer	18,960	19,950	1,420	10,000	7,000
2013 Trinity Trailer	43,230	45,500	29,890	20,000	14,000
2015 Trinity Trailer	45,560	47,950	47,260	20,000	14,000
2000 Mac Trailer	19,620	20,650	4,890	6,245	4,300
2015 Manac Flatbed	17,550	19,260	7,420	9,845	6,950
2012 Reithor flatbed	15,460	16,280	5,000	6,500	4,500
2015 Dorsey - Trailer	34,580	36,400	15,000	36,400	25,400
2011 Pits- Log Trailer	13,300	14,000	5,000	6,500	4,500

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name David Vesce

Appeal # 76

Address _____

Date 9/6/23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model _____

Appellant's fair market value: 460,00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage 230,980 (No vehicle can't check)

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Will bring more info gave info at prior hearing & by some one not at his house & offered to go look - said no

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

_____ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☒ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous

Donna Dubanoski ☒ Yay ☐ Nay

Lori Longhi ☐ Yay ☒ Nay

Thomas Tyler ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

~~Harvey~~ ~~960~~ Intern 4800

Old Assessment

New Assessment

Difference

4800
- 0 -

Recuse

3 APPEALS
HOLD - He will bring something in something with meeting or drop off documents or photos

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name David Vesce

Appeal # 77

Address _____

Date 9/16/23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model _____

Appellant's fair market value: \$500.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Old Rotted out per applicant asked to see it but didn't
Bring to hearing. I asked for documents and he said need
 Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due
 consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board
 of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner
 _____ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal
 OR
 ✓ _____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

Bring to
 meeting
 or drop
 paperwork
 off.
 HOLD
 Appeal to
 see if
 anything
 changes

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous

Donna Dubanoski ☒ Yay ☐ Nay

Lori Longhi ☐ Yay ☒ Nay

Thomas Tyler ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Refuse

Old Assessment 3530.00

New Assessment 3530.00

Difference - 0 -

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name David Vesce

Appeal # 78

Address _____

Date 9/6/23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Hudson Utility Trailer 1987

Appellant's fair market value: \$1100.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: He said it's rotted out did not bring to appeal.

I asked him to bring or give documents, prob or I can go see it
Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

gave him info
on how to drop
at town hall box
or office or
bring to with
2 next meeting

HOLD
Appeal
he will
bring back
w.p.

_____ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

✓ _____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous

Donna Dubanoski ✓ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay ✓ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Recuse

Old Assessment 960

New Assessment 960

Difference - 0 -

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Thomas Messenger Jr

Appeal # 79

Address _____

Date 9/6/23

Mileage of Vehicle 26,776

Make & Model Tesla

Appellant's fair market value: 26,770

Reason for Appeal: \$36,219 - private party middle

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Brought sticker \$57,490 Retail, got town printed. Said 16,075 sent in the ID power as well.

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 45,550

New Assessment 25,350

Difference - 20,200

CL#
72394

new H&A
Paid fee

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Rachel Turner

Appeal # 80

Address 7 Mathewson Ave.

Date 9-6-23

Mileage of Vehicle 112,855

Make & Model 2012 Honda Accord

Appellant's fair market value: _____

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage 112,855

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues Brake Boot - A/C Broke

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

_____ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous	<u>3-0</u>	
Donna Dubanoski	<u>Yay</u>	<u>Nay</u>
Lori Longhi	<u>Yay</u>	<u>Nay</u>
Thomas Tyler	<u>Yay</u>	<u>Nay</u>

Old Assessment 8510

New Assessment 560

Difference -7,950

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Mary Beiler

Appeal # 81

Address _____

Date 9/6/23

Mileage of Vehicle

Make & Model 2000 Featherlite trailer

Appellant's fair market value: \$100.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

 1 HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 1840

New Assessment 100

Difference - 1740

66-5289

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Donna Oski

Appeal # 82

Address 34 Southwood Rd

Date 9/6/26

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model 2018 Thor Chateau

Appellant's fair market value: \$38,000.00 - Sold - 5/17/23

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Sold Shown
Bill of Sale
\$38,000.

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

1 HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

6674997

Old Assessment ~~117,640~~ 117,640

New Assessment 26,600

Difference - 91,040

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Richard Maggio

Appeal # 83

Address 2 Campsite

Date 9-6-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Chevy Camaro

Appellant's fair market value: 3,350.

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues Burned oil - rotten egg smell

Other: Not necessary to bring in

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 8,680.00

New Assessment 4,130

Difference -4550

66#
70597

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Michael & Sandy Zukowski

Appeal # 84

Address 8 Pizza St.

Date 9-6-23

Mileage of Vehicle 4609

Make & Model 1988 Ford F-150

Appellant's fair market value: 500.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

GL#
87248

Old Assessment 2470

New Assessment 350

Difference - 2120

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Sandy & Michael Zukowski Appeal # 85

Address 1 Pierce St.

Date 9-6-23

Mileage of Vehicle 29,150

Make & Model 1967 - Buick Riviera

Appellant's fair market value: 500.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

1 HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 12,040

New Assessment 350

Difference - 11,690

GL#
877-50

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Terrence Poloy

Appeal # 86

Address 21 Matthews

Date 9/6/23

Mileage of Vehicle 56,111

Make & Model TESLA 3 2019

Appellant's fair market value: 25,226

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage Assessed Higher - Value than Vehicle.

Body Damage 0

Paint Damage Tesla - price \$25,226

Mechanical Issues

Other:

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 33,570

New Assessment 17,610

Difference -15,960

CL
76090

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Robert Kozak

Appeal # 87

Address 6 Tyler Rd.

Date 9/6/23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Air Stream Trailer

Appellant's fair market value: ~~\$2,000.00~~ \$1,170.⁰⁰

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage Rating Bare \$1,170

Paint Damage Insured for

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

668094

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 12,390

New Assessment 1,240

Difference = 11,150

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Adeline Miller

Appeal # 88

Address 9 Dartmouth St.

Date 9-6-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Honda Civic 2010

Appellant's fair market value: \$1,968.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage Trade in - February 19th 2023

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 5,740

New Assessment 1,380

Difference - 4,360

GL#
72636

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Laurie Gager Appeal # 89

Address 215 Fairway Dr 17 Janger Dr. Date 9-6-23

Mileage of Vehicle 167,300

Make & Model 2019 Elantra Hyundai

Appellant's fair market value: \$22,260

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage Kelly Blue Book

Body Damage Scratches Range 1,727-2,798

Paint Damage Dents Dings

Mechanical Issues

Other:

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous

3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment

6350

New Assessment

1960

Difference

- 4,390

6L#
63345

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Christian D'Antonio

Appeal # 90

Address 18 Montana Rd.

Date 9-6-23

Mileage of Vehicle 107,200

Make & Model Mazda - miata 1997

Appellant's fair market value: 4,900

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage Antique Plates

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

/ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous

Donna Dubanoski / Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay - Recused

Thomas Tyler / Yay Nay

2-0-1

Old Assessment 6,630

New Assessment 3,430

Difference - 3,190

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Kristin Pirio

Appeal # 91

Address 4 Brentwood Dr.

Date 9-6-23

Mileage of Vehicle 30,650

Make & Model Hyundai Tucson 2022

Appellant's fair market value: 17,500

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Gross assessment value too high.

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 27,670

New Assessment 12,250

Difference -15,420

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Jackie Guzie

Appeal # 92

Address 1 Aloha Dr.

Date 9-6-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Chevy-Camaro 2002

Appellant's fair market value: 3,300

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 9,100

New Assessment 2,310

Difference - 6,790

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Linda DeGray

Appeal # 93

Address 3 Theresa St.

Date 9-6-23

Mileage of Vehicle ~~172,000~~ 173,422

Make & Model Honda Civic 2013

Appellant's fair market value: 4740

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 8510

New Assessment 3310

Difference -5200

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Kenneth Edgar

Appeal # 94

Address 16 Sprucewood Rd.

Date 9-6-23

Mileage of Vehicle 104,456

Make & Model Ford F250 1990

Appellant's fair market value: 1,000.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage Age & Condition

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

 HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 5,250

New Assessment 700

Difference - 4,550

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Kenneth Edgar

Appeal # 95

Address 16 Spruceleaf Rd.

Date 9-6-23

Mileage of Vehicle 2 88,641

Make & Model Ford Focus 2006

Appellant's fair market value: 1050⁰⁰

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage Age & Condition

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

/ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 2350

New Assessment 735

Difference -1615

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Kenneth Edgar

Appeal # 97

Address 110 Spruceland Rd.

Date 4-6-25

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Trailer Haulmark

Appellant's fair market value: 420.⁰⁰

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage Age & condition

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

/ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

/ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Loft Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 1,990

New Assessment 290

Difference -1,700

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Kenneth Edgar

Appeal # 190

Address 16 Spruce Knoll Rd.

Date 9-6-23

Mileage of Vehicle 66,659

Make & Model 2001 - 242T Trailite

Appellant's fair market value: 3,500.

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage age & condition

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

 HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 7950.⁰⁰

New Assessment 2800

Difference -5,150

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Art Mullen

Appeal # 99

Address 80 Mullen Rd

Date 9-16-23

Mileage of Vehicle 177,681

Make & Model Honda Passport 1995

Appellant's fair market value: ~~\$15,000~~ 14,000.00

Good
condition

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage rust, mainly (top)

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues A/C Broken

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓

HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous

3-0

Donna Dubanoski

____ Yay

____ Nay

Lori Longhi

____ Yay

____ Nay

Thomas Tyler

____ Yay

____ Nay

Old Assessment

2430

New Assessment

1,000

Difference

- 1430

GL
73385

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Mr + Mullen Appeal # 100

Address 80 Mullen Rd. Date 9-6-23

Mileage of Vehicle 164040

Make & Model Ford Ranger 1996

Appellant's fair market value: ~~1,000.00~~ \$1,000.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage Changed because of

Paint Damage Broken A/C

Mechanical Issues NO A/C -

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 2790

New Assessment 700

Difference -2,090

66
73382

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name David Turner

Appeal # 101

Address 60 Post Office Rd.

Date 9-6-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model 1948 Chevy

Appellant's fair market value: 800.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: GROSSLY EXCESSIVE VALUE

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED / DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Tongi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 18,060

New Assessment 560

Difference - 17,500

CL#
84384

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name David Turner Appeal # 102

Address 60 Post office Date 9-1-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Snow 1993 Home trailer

Appellant's fair market value: 100.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage Homemade trailer

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED / DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 810

New Assessment 70

Difference -740

84385

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name David Turner

Appeal # 103

Address 60 post office

Date 9-6-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Karavan - Snow 1997

Appellant's fair market value: 100.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage Trailer

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 800 350

New Assessment 70

Difference -280

84386

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name David Turner

Appeal # 104

Address 60 post office

Date 9-6-23

Mileage of Vehicle 248,719

Make & Model Dodge Ram 1997

Appellant's fair market value: 2,000.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage rotted -

Paint Damage Steering Issues

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

62#
84383

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 6230

New Assessment 1400

Difference - 4830

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Thomas Dubanoski

Appeal # 105

Address _____

Date 9/16/23

Mileage of Vehicle 57,811

GL# 59450

Make & Model Chevy S10

Appellant's fair market value: \$ 500.00

Reason for Appeal: Antique Paperwork

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: OLD Vehicle, Antique

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous	<u>2-0-1</u>	Donna Dubanoski Recused
Donna Dubanoski	<u>Yay</u>	<u>Nay</u> Recused
Lori Longhi	<u>✓ Yay</u>	<u>Nay</u>
Thomas Tyler	<u>✓ Yay</u>	<u>Nay</u>

Old Assessment 3,770

New Assessment 350

Difference - 3,420

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Thomas Dubanoski

Appeal # 106

Address _____

Date 9/16/23

Mileage of Vehicle 356,776

Make & Model Toyota Avalon

Appellant's fair market value: \$1428.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage 356,776

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: prior appeal based on previous high mileage

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous	<u>2-0-1 Donna Dubanoski Recused</u>	
Donna Dubanoski	☐ Yay	☐ Nay <u>Recuse</u>
Lori Longhi	☒ Yay	☐ Nay
Thomas Tyler	☒ Yay	☐ Nay

Old Assessment	<u>4,600</u>
New Assessment	<u>21,000</u>
Difference	<u>- 3,600</u>

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Kenneth Kaufman

Appeal # 107

Address 4 Ellis Rd

Date 9/6/23

Mileage of Vehicle Radwin vehicle on x2

Make & Model F150 Ford

Appellant's fair market value: \$8000

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Bill of Sale showed value of \$8,000
7/15/23

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner



HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous

✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 13,550

New Assessment 5,600

Difference - 7,950

CL#
67774

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Kenneth Kaufman

Appeal # 108

Address 4 Ellis Rd

Date 9/6/23

Mileage of Vehicle 217

Make & Model F150 Ford

Appellant's fair market value: \$500.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Turked the vehicle for \$500.

Levell - Invoice

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner



HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 4,400

New Assessment 350

Difference - 4,050

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Andrew Staszczak

Appeal # 109

Address 5 Maple

Date 9/6/23

Mileage of Vehicle 159,528

Make & Model _____

Appellant's fair market value: 350

#82035

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues Yes, repaired by past students

Other: Prior - knew this vehicle from past appeals

has been very well documented

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due

consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board

of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 1610

New Assessment 245

Difference -1310.5

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Frank Barone

Appeal # 110

Address 24 Beech Rd

Date 9/12/23

Mileage of Vehicle 83,000 1/2

Make & Model _____

Appellant's fair market value: 500⁰⁰ antique 1969

Reason for Appeal: 83,000 1/2 last known

High Mileage unhooked - unknown - not running

Body Damage yes

Paint Damage yes

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Antique - Re-dwainy Photo's - not running
no engine

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ ✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment \$16,520

New Assessment 350

Difference -16,170

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Steven Kramer

Appeal # 111

Address _____

Date 9/6/23

Mileage of Vehicle 247,688

Make & Model 2010 Toyota

Appellant's fair market value: \$1700

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage accident 247,688

Body Damage dent door, Back Panel 18" scratch deep

Paint Damage yes both sides front metal

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 6840

New Assessment 1330

Difference - 5,510

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Scott Delorge

Appeal # 112

Address Geo Washington Rd

Date 9/6/23

Mileage of Vehicle 116,364

Make & Model _____

Appellant's fair market value: 13,000

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage 116,364

Body Damage dent, door, fender, tail gate

Paint Damage scratches

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Rotted Body - Bed, Back end, Photo &

looked at truck

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner



HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

*66#
58564*

Unanimous	<u>✓ 3-0</u>
Donna Dubanoski	<u>Yay</u> <u>Nay</u>
Lori Longhi	<u>Yay</u> <u>Nay</u>
Thomas Tyler	<u>Yay</u> <u>Nay</u>

Old Assessment 23,300

New Assessment 9,100

Difference - 14,200

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Gene Giuliano

Appeal # 113

Address 99 Glabe Rd

Date 9/6/23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Ford Taurus

Appellant's fair market value: 1,000

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: ice storm rock marks on exterior car
was to assess that storm damage.

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 4,250.⁰⁰

New Assessment 1,000.

Difference 3,250

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Maurice Muller

Appeal # 114

Address 1655 King

Date 9/6/23

Mileage of Vehicle 126,842

Make & Model Honda

Appellant's fair market value: 2392

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage ☒ 126,842

Body Damage

Paint Damage ☒ scratches & paint spots

Mechanical Issues

Other: Brought in value back up 2392

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 3470 GL Book

New Assessment 1670

Difference -1800

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Martin Keane

Appeal # 98

Address 203 Hazard Ave

Date 9-6-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Ford Pick up 1947

Appellant's fair market value: 50.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____ Plates turned in 11/2/22

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues Doesn't run since 1997 -

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 26,320

New Assessment -0-

Difference -26,320

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Jennifer Bruyette

Appeal # 115

Address 83 Park Ave

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Honda

Appellant's fair market value: 1206

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage 228,600 High milage

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical issues _____

Other: Scraped in 2023 got \$300 for car

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubancski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubancski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 4480

New Assessment 210

Difference 4270

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Jennifer Provette

Appeal # 116

Address 83 Park Ave

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model _____

Appellant's fair market value: 17,399

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage very high milage for year

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: documents val car less than town

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous	☒	<u>3-0</u>
Donna Dubanoski	☐ Yay	☐ Nay
Lori Longhi	☐ Yay	☐ Nay
Thomas Tyler	☐ Yay	☐ Nay

Old Assessment 17690

New Assessment 12180

Difference 5510

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name David Veece

Appeal # 117

Address 10 Gern Grove

Date 9/12/23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model 1987 Ford

Appellant's fair market value: 500.

Reason for Appeal: said original Ford p.u.

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Antique vehicles restoring - already spoke about it better than the form filled out
 Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner had
two



HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 5-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 2,420.00

New Assessment 350.00

Difference - 2,070.00

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name David Vesce

Appeal # 118

Address 10 Glen Grove

Date 9/12/23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model 1976 Chevy

Appellant's fair market value: 5000

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Antique Vehicle

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 4800.00

New Assessment 350.00

Difference - 4,450.00

LL

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Briek Kelliber

Appeal # 119

Address _____

Date 9/12/23

Mileage of Vehicle Chump

Make & Model C65 1973

Appellant's fair market value: ~~15500~~ 2500

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage Rust dents

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues Brakes

Other: _____

750

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 6,600

New Assessment 1,750

Difference 4,850

✓
✓

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Brian Kellher

Appeal # 120

Address _____

Date 9/12/23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Dodge Dakota 1997

Appellant's fair market value: 2000.

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage 178,679

Body Damage Rust, dents

Paint Damage peeling

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner



HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous

✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski

____ Yay

____ Nay

Lori Longhi

____ Yay

____ Nay

Thomas Tyler

____ Yay

____ Nay

Old Assessment

3,290

New Assessment

1,400

Difference

-1,890

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Brian Kelliker

Appeal # 121

Address _____

Date 9/12/23

Mileage of Vehicle 262,897

Make & Model 1999 - F150 Ford

Appellant's fair market value: 2500

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage 262,897

Body Damage rust, dents, damage

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED / DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous

✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 4,590

New Assessment 1,750

Difference 2,840

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Brian Kelliker

Appeal # 122

Address _____

Date 9/12/23

Mileage of Vehicle 241,208

Make & Model 2000 Frontier Nissan

Appellant's fair market value: 2,100

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage 241,208

Body Damage dents, dings, scratches

Paint Damage scratches all over

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

*Real
panel*

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 5,040

New Assessment 1,470

Difference - 3,570

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Brian Kelliker Appeal # 123
 Address _____ Date 9/13/23
 Mileage of Vehicle 95214 x 2 more
 Make & Model 1972 Dodge Van
 Appellant's fair market value: 1,100
 Reason for Appeal: 95214 ✓ Flipped 2 times
 High Mileage _____
 Body Damage dents
 Paint Damage rust
 Mechanical Issues body damage
 Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓

HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 3-0
 Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay
 Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay
 Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 14,490
 New Assessment 770
 Difference -13,720

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Jeremiah Johnson

Appeal # 124

Address _____

Date 9/12/23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model E450 camper not a 16450 2021 ass.

Appellant's fair market value: \$11,200 work truck 36,840 2022 ass.

Reason for Appeal: Four winds

High Mileage 107,987

Body Damage dents

Paint Damage Body damage - crash Rear panel Driver

Mechanical issues _____

Other: Camper, water damage, mold

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 36,840

New Assessment 7,840

Difference -29,000

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Melvin Mayo

Appeal # 125

Address 46 SWORD AVE

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle 238,829

Make & Model Ford Focus 2002

Appellant's fair market value: 210.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage ☒

Body Damage rust numerous dents

Paint Damage damaged

Mechanical Issues interior damage

Other: 21 year old car

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

 HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 1380

New Assessment 150

Difference 1230

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Melvin Mayo

Appeal # 126

Address 46 Sward Ave

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle N/A

Make & Model Home made trailer

Appellant's fair market value: 100.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Rust, dents - home made utility trailer

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

 HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 410.00

New Assessment 100.00

Difference 310.00

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Melvin Mayo

Appeal # 127

Address 416 Sward Ave

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle 184,100

Make & Model Ford Focus SE 2001

Appellant's fair market value: 180.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage Not running high mileage

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues Mechanical issues

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 1610

New Assessment 180

Difference 1430

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Melvin Mayo

Appeal # 128

Address 460 SWORD AVE

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle 500,000 plus

Make & Model Chevy S-10 1988

Appellant's fair market value: 140

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage Abundant amount of rust

Paint Damage Numerous dents extensive paint damage

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Interior/Exterior Damage 35 yrs old

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

 HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 2750.00

New Assessment 140.00

Difference 2610.00

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Deborah Duxen

Appeal # 129

Address 53 Yale Dr.

Date 9/12/23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Chevrolet Silverado 2005

Appellant's fair market value: _____

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage Bill of Sale Sold 6/19/2023

Body Damage to Nicewicz for 1200.

Paint Damage Paul died 10/18/22

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 3,380.

New Assessment 840

Difference 2540

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name William J. Toth

Appeal # 130

Address _____

Date 9/12/23

Mileage of Vehicle Jeep Wrangler

Make & Model _____

Appellant's fair market value: 13,860

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage Paid 25,000 3/2020

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Kelly Blue 18,394 - 21,295

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED / DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 20,760

New Assessment 13,860

Difference - 6,900

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Jim Graham

Appeal # 131

Address 28 Bass Dr.

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Ford escape 2015

Appellant's fair market value: 8315

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage Town 8590 ^{FMV} 12,770 8315 - middle ^{Private party range}

Body Damage sale was 16380 6380

Paint Damage 7340 X .70 = 5140 14,095 ÷ 2 = 7340

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 8590

New Assessment 5140

Difference 3450

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Lissette Jimenez

Appeal # 132

Address 42 David Dr.

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle 110959

Make & Model Toyota Camry 2019

Appellant's fair market value: 12,819

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage Left Side

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

/ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 14,870

New Assessment 8,960

Difference - 7,910

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name John Cappiello

Appeal # 133

Address 18 Somers Rd

Date 9-13-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model GMC 2500 1982

Appellant's fair market value: 0

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage Not on the road

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 500

New Assessment 350

Difference - 150

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name John Cappiello

Appeal # 134

Address 18 Semino Rd

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Quinn trailer 1991

Appellant's fair market value: 600

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage 25 yr old trailer

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous

3-0

Donna Dubanoski ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Lori Longhi ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Thomas Tyler ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Old Assessment 1,360

New Assessment 420

Difference - 940

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name John Cappiello

Appeal # 135

Address 18 Semino Rd

Date 9-13-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Big Tex 18' Equipment 2016

Appellant's fair market value: 1900

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage Trailer

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Lori Longhi ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Thomas Tyler ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Old Assessment 2050

New Assessment 1260

Difference - 790

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name John Cappiello

Appeal # 136

Address 18 Somers Rd

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model 2006 Car mate Cm 1600

Appellant's fair market value: 900

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage frailer

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous

3-0

Donna Dubanoski ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Lois Longhi ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Thomas Tyler ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Old Assessment 2040

New Assessment 630

Difference -1,410

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name John Cappiello

Appeal # 137

Address 18 Serrano Rd

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Home Lite 1996

Appellant's fair market value: 0

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage Trailer

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Lori Longhi ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Thomas Tyler ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Old Assessment 350

New Assessment 70

Difference - 280

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name John Cappiello

Appeal # 138

Address 18 Somers Rd.

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model 2019 INNOV - 101X12

Appellant's fair market value: 700

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner



 HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 910

New Assessment 490

Difference - 420

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name John Cappiella

Appeal # 139

Address 18 Somers Rd.

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle 38,812

Make & Model Ford E350 2018

Appellant's fair market value: 18,000

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

_____ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 27,550

New Assessment 12,600

Difference - 14,950

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Thomas Berry

Appeal # 140

Address 14 B The Hamlet

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle Ford Transit 2015

Make & Model 628,220

Appellant's fair market value: 1925

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage High mileage

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous

3-0

Donna Dubanoski

____ Yay

____ Nay

Lori Longhi

____ Yay

____ Nay

Thomas Tyler

____ Yay

____ Nay

Old Assessment

16,260

New Assessment

1,540

Difference

-14,720

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Ellen Martin

Appeal # 141

Address 4 Patricia Circle

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle 195,761

Make & Model 1998 VM New Beetle

Appellant's fair market value: 500.

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

 _____ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous

3-0

Donna Dubanoski

____ Yay

____ Nay

Lori Longhi

____ Yay

____ Nay

Thomas Tyler

____ Yay

____ Nay

Old Assessment 1,590

New Assessment 350

Difference -1,240

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Ellen Martin

Appeal # 142

Address 6 Patricia Cir.

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Subaru Impreza 2018

Appellant's fair market value: 17,848

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 19,400

New Assessment 12,490

Difference - 1910

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Leonard Martin

Appeal # 143

Address 6 Patricia Cir.

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle N/A - trailer

Make & Model 1982 Homemade trailer

Appellant's fair market value: 100.⁰⁰

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Home made trailer

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

/ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED / DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 350

New Assessment 70

Difference -280

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Leonard Martin

Appeal # 144

Address 6 Patricia Cir.

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle 66,331

Make & Model Subaru Outback 2017

Appellant's fair market value: 18,2109

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Lori Longhi ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Thomas Tyler ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Old Assessment 14,670

New Assessment 12,780

Difference -1,890

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Joan Paul Farnia

Appeal # 145

Address 28 St. Thomas St.

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Honda, 2014 Odyssey

Appellant's fair market value: 3061

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED / DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 10,630

New Assessment 2140

Difference - 8480

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Paul Farris

Appeal # 146

Address 58 St. Thomas

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle 131947

Make & Model Dodge - Ram 2015

Appellant's fair market value: 10,954

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage Mileage & Condition

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

/ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 15,720

New Assessment 7,670

Difference - 8,050

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Andrew Staszczak

Appeal # 147

Address 5 Marble Rd

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle 74,012

Make & Model Chevy Cavalier 1999

Appellant's fair market value: 500.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage Cracked dashboard, several places

Paint Damage Peeling off

Mechanical Issues Leads off, needs air compressor

Other: Value increased by 10%

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

 HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 1,640

New Assessment 350

Difference - 1,260

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Andrew Staszczak

Appeal # 148

Address 5 Marble Rd

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle 227,243

Make & Model Nissan Altima 1999

Appellant's fair market value: 4100.⁰⁰

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage ☒ _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage Faded paint

Mechanical Issues leaky oil

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 1,610

New Assessment 280

Difference -1,330

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Mike Bleau

Appeal # 149

Address _____

Date 9/12/23

Mileage of Vehicle 259,202

M 0013583
\$8700.00

Make & Model Matrix S

Appellant's fair market value: 2000.00

Reason for Appeal: 259202 ✓

High Mileage _____

Body Damage Altrapes on door, dent back door. Dent & panel

Paint Damage Scratches

Mechanical Issues Burns oil,

Other: per. appellant inside wires hanging ✓

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

millage II
- 5900

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous	<u>✓ 3-0</u>	
Donna Dubanoski	<u>Yay</u>	<u>Nay</u>
Lori Longhi	<u>Yay</u>	<u>Nay</u>
Thomas Tyler	<u>Yay</u>	<u>Nay</u>

Value
2,800
Book

Old Assessment 6090

New Assessment 1900.

Difference -4130

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Mann William

Appeal # 150

Address _____

Date 9/12/23

Mileage of Vehicle 111,924 ✓

Make & Model _____

MV 0011034

Appellant's fair market value: _____

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage 111,924

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues Truck broke down in parking lot next door

Other: couldn't start at all, go get fuelage
road up, was stuck till appeal time

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓

HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED / DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 14,280

New Assessment 1400.00

Difference 12,880

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Kaelyn Moses

Appeal # 151

Address _____

Date 9/20/23

Mileage of Vehicle 162,411 ✓

12

Make & Model Subaru Legacy

2019

Appellant's fair market value: 6379

Reason for Appeal: per estimate

High Mileage 163,000

Tod
Value is
24,128

Body Damage 162,411 ✓

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

MV 0016625

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 16,890

New Assessment 4,465.00

Difference -12,425

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name EIBA Delgado Appeal # 152

Address 152 Fox Hill Ln. Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle 147,536

Make & Model Chev. K1500 1997 Pick up

Appellant's fair market value: _____

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage Water inside windshield

Body Damage Rust

Paint Damage Scratches

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Antique Plate appointment in October

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner



 HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 2,450

New Assessment 350

Difference -2100

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Laura Saleh

Appeal # 153

Address Phasant Hill

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle 93,357

Make & Model Lincoln - MKZ 2017

Appellant's fair market value: 16,000

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage very - high mileage

Body Damage head gasket - NH. dealership.

Paint Damage Water damage - mold -

Mechanical Issues Purchased for \$19,500 - June 2020 -

Other: Supplemental Bill (104.22) unable to

appeal
along w/
\$518.93

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner



HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 15,930

New Assessment 13,350

Difference - 2580

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Precious Miles

Appeal # 154

Address 42 Daco Dr

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle 112,399

Make & Model Volkswagen Jetta 2015

Appellant's fair market value: 3,841

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage High mileage -

Body Damage

Paint Damage

Mechanical Issues damaged frame -

Other: hit - over - and damage

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 8,190

New Assessment 2,690

Difference - 5,500

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Kenna Koerner Appeal # 155
 Address 46 Candlewood Dr. Date 9-12-23
 Mileage of Vehicle 101800
 Make & Model 2016 Subaru Crosstrek
 Appellant's fair market value: 11,500⁰⁰ - CARYANA - (9-8)
 Reason for Appeal:
 High Mileage high -
 Body Damage _____
 Paint Damage Accident
 Mechanical Issues Struts Electronic Control
 Other: trade in value 11,500

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous	<u>3-0</u>	
Donna Dubanoski	<u>Yay</u>	<u>Nay</u>
Lori Longhi	<u>Yay</u>	<u>Nay</u>
Thomas Tyler	<u>Yay</u>	<u>Nay</u>

Old Assessment	<u>14,580</u>
New Assessment	<u>8,050</u>
Difference	<u>- 6,530</u>

Slanez Corporation

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Karen Laplant

Appeal # 156

Address 170 W. Maple

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model 1997 John Deere Leader

Appellant's fair market value: 19,500.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED / DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 27,300

New Assessment 13,650

Difference 13,650

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Slanetz Corp.

Appeal # 157

Address 170. N. Maple

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model 1986 - 6440 John Deere Grader

Appellant's fair market value: 9,000.⁰⁰

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage Cracked Window -

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED / DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 20,650

New Assessment 6,300

Difference -14,350

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Sloneer-

Appeal # 158

Address 176 N Maple St.

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Kenworth Dump Truck - 7300
2005

Appellant's fair market value: 22,000

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Short body dump truck -

comp
Newer truck
+ Flat Bed
28,500

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous	<u>3-0</u>
Donna Dubanoski	____ Yay ____ Nay
Lori Longhi	____ Yay ____ Nay
Thomas Tyler	____ Yay ____ Nay

Old Assessment	<u>24,800</u>
New Assessment	<u>15,400</u>
Difference	<u>-11,400</u>

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Slonetz Corp.

Appeal # 159

Address 170 N.

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model John Deere Backhoe 1997

Appellant's fair market value: \$18,500

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 27,300

New Assessment 12,950

Difference -14,350

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Planet2 Corp

Appeal # 160

Address 170 N. Maple St

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Star Dump Trailer 1986

Appellant's fair market value: 3,500.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: purchased for (5) (used)

*8-11 yrs older
comparable*

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner



HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 8,510

New Assessment 2,450

Difference -6,060

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Slanetz Corp

Appeal # 161

Address 170 N. Maple

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Ford F800 Serv Truck

Appellant's fair market value: 7,500

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage N/A \$2,230-

Body Damage then incurred 19,900⁰⁰

Paint Damage this year 18,015⁰⁰

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: ~~See 11/12/23~~

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 12,610

New Assessment 5,250

Difference - 7,360

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Gary Young

Appeal # 162

Address 320 And Rd

Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle 179,958

Make & Model Blind Lacrosse 2011

Appellant's fair market value: 800.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage Previous years Paperwork attached.

Body Damage over 180k.

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues transmission replacement

Other: Catalytic converted - replacement.

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Lori Longhi ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Thomas Tyler ☐ Yay ☐ Nay

Old Assessment 5,160

New Assessment 560

Difference - 4,600

Withdrawn - Lease - 749-0120-(H)
573-1907(M)

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Aldo - Mary Ellen Della Giustina Appeal # 163

Address 31 Queen St Date 9-12-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Mazda - 2022

Lease - Vehicle -
(764.34) 9.75 per value
23,736

Appellant's fair market value: _____

Reason for Appeal: Purchased in May 2022

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Base Price 22,000

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Esfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

_____ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☒ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Loft Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

No VOTE TAKEN

Old Assessment _____

New Assessment _____

Difference _____

No old Assessment - Leased Vehicle.

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Garrett Pease

Appeal # 164

Address 28 Sam St

Date 9-16-23

Mileage of Vehicle 247,369

Make & Model _____

Appellant's fair market value: _____

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage Yes 247,369

Body Damage Rust

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Bought 2 years ago for \$5000.

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski ^{4000 x .70 = 2800} and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous	<u>✓ 3-0</u>
Donna Dubanoski	<u> </u> Yay <u> </u> Nay
Lori Longhi	<u> </u> Yay <u> </u> Nay
Thomas Tyler	<u> </u> Yay <u> </u> Nay

Old Assessment 11,380

New Assessment 2,800

Difference -8,580

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name ERICA Ryan

Appeal # 165

Address 3 Bluebird Dr

Date 9-16-23

Mileage of Vehicle 383,956

Make & Model Toyota Tacoma 2001

Appellant's fair market value: 2,000⁰⁰

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

_____ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 8,470

New Assessment 1,400

Difference -7,070

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Fran Crossan

Appeal # 1166

Address 9 Briarwood Dr.

Date 9-16-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Golf - 2014 G6 GEM

Appellant's fair market value: 100.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

/ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

/ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 5,160

New Assessment 70

Difference - 5,090

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Fran Crosson

Appeal # 167

Address 9 ~~B~~ ¹³⁰¹ Rd

Date 9-16-23

Mileage of Vehicle 50,331

Make & Model Ford Ranger 1994

Appellant's fair market value: 900.

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows:

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 2,770

New Assessment 630

Difference -2,140

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Trevor Suzar

Appeal # 168

Address 86 S. River St.

Date 9-16-23

Mileage of Vehicle 203,963

Make & Model MAZDA B3000, 2002

Appellant's fair market value: \$ 080.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

 HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 6,060

New Assessment 350

Difference - 5,710

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Beth Monfetta

Appeal # 169

Address 28 Sam St.

Date 9/16/23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Chevy Van 1999

Appellant's fair market value: 800.00

Reason for Appeal: Car both sides leaks water (4K to fix)

High Mileage Missing part of access panel, whole body floor in

Body Damage Broken back window, scratches, bodywork

Paint Damage peeling paint - corroded wiper metal

Mechanical Issues seats, repair

Other: ceiling falling in from water, work door hinge all bent & r

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED / DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous	<u>✓</u>
Donna Dubanoski	<u>Yay</u> <u>Nay</u>
Lori Longhi	<u>Yay</u> <u>Nay</u>
Thomas Tyler	<u>Yay</u> <u>Nay</u>

Old Assessment 4,170

New Assessment 2,000

Difference - 2,170

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Andrew Staszczak

Appeal # 170

Address 5 Marblee Road

Date 9/16/23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Pontiac 1985

Appellant's fair market value: \$500.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage 75258

Body Damage Discoloration on hood, color off a bit

Paint Damage hood

Mechanical Issues Emergency brake not working

Other: Burn marks on hood

0022522
5,728 ~~5,728~~ 4010 this year
OLD 3750 ASS. 1230 -2520

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 4010.00

New Assessment 500.00

Difference -3510.00

Both in fire - 1980's DU Chevy - W. Locks House
Wrong district why just US to know
don't know wants it's messed up. 1982 Mobil 2 Windsor Locks

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Jeff Peterson - NE Lands. Serv Appeal # 171 2003
Address _____ Date 9/16/2023 8K
Mileage of Vehicle _____ purchase
Make & Model _____
Appellant's fair market value: _____

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage Both are in Windsor Locks
Body Damage are taxed in WL
Paint Damage Told Vicki - she was
Mechanical Issues going to verify But
Other: he still got charged.
In Enfield

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous	<u>✓</u>	<u>3-0</u>
Donna Dubanoski	<u> </u> Yay	<u> </u> Nay
Lori Longhi	<u> </u> Yay	<u> </u> Nay
Thomas Tyler	<u> </u> Yay	<u> </u> Nay

Old Assessment	<u>7350</u>
New Assessment	<u>-0-</u>
Difference	<u>-7350</u>

Not in Enfield

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Jeffrey Peterson

Appeal # 172

Address _____

Date 9-16-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Chvy C30 1980

Appellant's fair market value: _____

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Property located in Windsor Locks - Not
Enfield

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of Assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous

✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski

____ Yay

____ Nay

Lori Longhi

____ Yay

____ Nay

Thomas Tyler

____ Yay

____ Nay

Old Assessment

14,040

New Assessment

- 0 -

Difference

- 14,040

Not located in Enfield

File OK
D134.

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Giff Petersen

Appeal # 173

Address _____

Date 9/16/23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model stump grinder 1982 Vermeer

Appellant's fair market value: 1130 Value

Reason for Appeal: purchased @ 2500K 41 years old
25 years ago 2 years before

High Mileage _____

Body Damage mostly rusted very tired

Paint Damage Very poor condition odds & ends

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Bushings worn

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner



HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous	<u>✓ 3-0</u>
Donna Dubanoski	<u>Yay</u> <u>Nay</u>
Lori Longhi	<u>Yay</u> <u>Nay</u>
Thomas Tyler	<u>Yay</u> <u>Nay</u>

Old Assessment 8,750.00

New Assessment 790.00

Difference 7,960.00

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Jeff Peterson

Appeal # 174

Address _____

Date 9/16/23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model GMK K3500 2006

Appellant's fair market value: 9,660. - should be for condition of vehicle

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous

☒ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 11,900

New Assessment 6,762

Difference -4738

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Jeff Peterson

Appeal # 175

Address _____

Date 9/16

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model 1983 Ch K 30 Od Flipped 5 digits

Appellant's fair market value: _____

Reason for Appeal: 40 years old

13,810
adjusted 11190
same truck in 1983 K -
WC 1100

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous ✓ 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 14,790

New Assessment 850

Difference - 13,940

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name John Guzzi

Appeal # 177

Address Drummond Rd

Date 7-16-23

Mileage of Vehicle 94,997.2 (pld)

Make & Model Ford F 350 2015

Appellant's fair market value: 7,100

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage Dents

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner



HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 20,360

New Assessment 6,370

Difference -13,990

860-836-4062

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name John Guzzi

Appeal # 178

Address 275 Drainerd Rd

Date 9/16/23

Mileage of Vehicle 295020

Make & Model Honda Accord E 2015

Appellant's fair market value: 3010

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage Dent

Body Damage Rust

Paint Damage Worn & torn in seats

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner



HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 11,850

New Assessment 2110

Difference - 9740

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name John Guzzi

Appeal # 179

Address 275 Brunswick Rd

Date 7/14/23

Mileage of Vehicle 151,267

Make & Model Ford F350 Super 2009

Appellant's fair market value: 3,800

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage

Body Damage Dents and

Paint Damage Seats are falling apart

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner



HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 17,280

New Assessment 1960

Difference = 15,320

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name John Guzzi

Appeal # 180

Address 275 Braintree Rd.

Date 9-16-23

Mileage of Vehicle 94,997

Make & Model Ford F-350 - 2015

Appellant's fair market value: 9,100

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage

Body Damage Rust - dents

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner



HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3 - 0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 20,360

New Assessment 6,370

Difference -13,990

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name John Guzzi

Appeal # 181

Address 275 Broadway Pl

Date 9-14-23

Mileage of Vehicle 193,809

Make & Model Ford F250 2011

Appellant's fair market value: 5,000

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage

Body Damage

Rust

Paint Damage

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Esfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

_____ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 16,020

New Assessment 3,500

Difference -12,520

Entire
Fee
3,24

Haz Fire district 3.02
Why in Enfield vs Haz
where stored?

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Peterson

Appeal # 176

Address _____

Date 9/16/2023

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model 2004 - Chevy

Paid 5500.00 put
work into it

Appellant's fair market value: 1

Now \$39,500

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage 137,992

Body Damage rust, fenders rotted & through

Paint Damage rust

Mechanical Issues not same hours not same machine

Other: exterior liner hanging as his machine trader

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner



HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous

1 3-0

Donna Dubanoski

Yay

Nay

Lori Longhi

Yay

Nay

Thomas Tyler

Yay

Nay

Old Assessment

27,650

New Assessment

5,290

Difference

22,360

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Kristen Ciechowski

Appeal # 182

Address _____

Date 9-16-23

Mileage of Vehicle 245,510

Make & Model Infiniti G37 2012

Appellant's fair market value: 4500.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage 12 pcr 71 + 200

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner



 HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous

3-0

Donna Dubanoski

____ Yay

____ Nay

Lord Longhi

____ Yay

____ Nay

Thomas Tyler

____ Yay

____ Nay

Old Assessment

8,630

New Assessment

3,210

Difference

- 5,420

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Kristen Clechowski

Appeal # 183

Address 35 Windham

Date 9-16-23

Mileage of Vehicle 237,244

Make & Model Scion XB 2005

Appellant's fair market value 1455

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage Abused tires peeling

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 2,840

New Assessment 1020

Difference -1820

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Matthew Ciechanowski

Appeal # 184

Address Windsor Rd

Date 9-16-23

Mileage of Vehicle Trailer -

Make & Model Ranger 333 - 1990

Appellant's fair market value: 250.00

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: Trailer Condition -

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

/ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler Yay Nay

Old Assessment 350

New Assessment 175

Difference -175

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Kristen Cuchowski

Appeal # 185

Address Windham

Date 9-16-23

Mileage of Vehicle 155686

Make & Model Chevy Silverado 2014

Appellant's fair market value: 17,895

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

 HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous	<u>3-0</u>
Donna Dubanoski	<u> </u> Yay <u> </u> Nay
Lori Longhi	<u> </u> Yay <u> </u> Nay
Thomas Tyler	<u> </u> Yay <u> </u> Nay

Old Assessment 16,440

New Assessment 13,450

Difference - 3,990

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Matthew Cierchowski

Appeal # 186

Address 35 Windham

Date 9-16-23

Mileage of Vehicle _____

Make & Model Harley - FXDI - 2004

Appellant's fair market value: 4905

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage

Body Damage _____

Paint Damage _____

Mechanical Issues _____

Other: _____

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner



 HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED / DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 4,110

New Assessment 3,430

Difference -680

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Betty Slane 12

Appeal # 187

Address 170 N. Maple

Date 9-16-23

Mileage of Vehicle 16154

Make & Model MG - B - 1972

Appellant's fair market value: 2,000.

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage _____

Body Damage Stripping floor boards -

Paint Damage Frame issue - ripped seals -

Mechanical Issues Viewed - photos -

Other: In shop - Not running last 6 years.

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

☒ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

☐ FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous 3-0

Donna Dubanoski _____ Yay _____ Nay

Lori Longhi _____ Yay _____ Nay

Thomas Tyler _____ Yay _____ Nay

Old Assessment 8860

New Assessment 1400

Difference - 7460

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Msgr Gary Thibault

Appeal # 188

Address 1 Oakwood St.

Date 9-16-23

Mileage of Vehicle 14527

Make & Model Mercury Comet - 1963

Appellant's fair market value: 7,000

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage

Body Damage

Paint Damage

Mechanical Issues

Other:

1 BACK UP

NO BACK seat - completely down

to metal -

78,700

unrestored - partial restoration

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner

✓ HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

 FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous

3-0

Donna Dubanoski

Yay

Nay

Lori Longhi

Yay

Nay

Thomas Tyler

Yay

Nay

Old Assessment

18,270

New Assessment

5,390

Difference

-12,880

2023 SEPTEMBER BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS HEARINGS & DECISION MINUTES

Name Sharon Santowski

Appeal # 189

Address 1435 Enfield St.

Date 9-16-23

Mileage of Vehicle 18,845

Make & Model LEXUS 2031-NX300

Appellant's fair market value: 38,900

Reason for Appeal:

High Mileage high

Body Damage -

Paint Damage -

Mechanical Issues Comparable to upgrades -

Other: The vehicle looks the top of the line as being a new car

Motion to approve Appeal made by Donna Dubanoski and seconded by Thomas Tyler. After due consideration and thoughtful deliberation of all evidence in the matter of this appeal, Enfield's Board of assessment Appeals find that the Property Owner



HAS PROVEN beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

OR

FAILED TO PROVE beyond a preponderance of evidence the merits of this Appeal

THEREFORE, THIS APPLICATION WAS GRANTED/DENIED by VOTE as follows

Unanimous

Donna Dubanoski ✓ Yay Nay

Lori Longhi Yay Nay

Thomas Tyler ✓ Yay Nay

2-0-1

Recessed

Old Assessment 27,810

New Assessment 20,230

Difference - 7,580